

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,207	06/27/2001	John Michael Cotte	YOR920010091US1(14299)	5007
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven Fischman, Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser			PERKINS, PAMELA E	
400 Garden City, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2822	
			DATE MAN ED. 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U	~	_

Ad	visor	y Action
,		, , , , , , , , , , ,

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,207	COTTE ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Pamela E Perkins	2822	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final recondit	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in incident (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) [≥	✓ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abo\	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under to 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) \(\square \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🖾	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: 1-20.
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	AGGIR ZARABIAN
	S'UTERVISORY PATENT EXACT

TECHNIN COM CONTER O

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Koch (6,331,487) in view of Uzoh et al. (6,355,153) and Angello (5,897,349) disclose the process of cleaning a precision surface as disclose in claim 1, including contacting a reactive ion etched surface (Angello: col. 4, lines 58-65) with a composition which contains liquid or supercritical carbon dioxide and a fluoride-generating species (Koch: col. 4, lines 5-21) until the reactive ion residue is removed (Angello: col. 5, lines 27-32). Angello discloses applying a reactive ion etch to pattern a layer (Angello: col. 4, lines 58-65) and then cleaning the cleaning the reactive ion etch residue (Angello: col. 5, lines 27-32). Using a reactive ion etch etches the layer at a greater rate (Angello: col. 5, lines 55-66).